WARNING: To be sold by retail on the prescription of a 'Registered Oncologist/Haematologist' only.



# Luspatercept

[ROJUZDA<sup>®</sup>]

#### 1. GENERIC NAME

Luspatercept 25 mg powder for solution for injection Luspatercept 75 mg powder for solution for injection

# 2. QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE COMPOSITION

## Luspatercept 25 mg powder for solution for injection

Each vial contains 25 mg of luspatercept. After reconstitution, each mL of solution contains 50 mg luspatercept.

## Luspatercept 75 mg powder for solution for injection

Each vial contains 75 mg of luspatercept. After reconstitution, each mL of solution contains 50 mg luspatercept.

Luspatercept is produced in Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO) cells by recombinant DNA technology.

For the full list of excipients, see section 7.

#### 3. DOSAGE FORM AND STRENGTH

Powder for solution for injection (powder for injection): 25 mg and 75 mg in vial

White to off-white lyophilised powder.

#### 4. CLINICAL PARTICULARS

# 4.1 Therapeutic indications

*Myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS)* 

Luspatercept is indicated in adults for the treatment of transfusion-dependent anaemia due to very low, low and intermediate-risk myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) (see section 5.1).

## **β**-thalassaemia

Luspatercept is indicated for the treatment of adult patients with transfusion-dependent anaemia associated with beta-thalassaemia.

## 4.2 Posology and method of administration

Luspatercept treatment should be initiated by a physician experienced in treatment of haematological diseases.

# **Posology**

Prior to each Luspatercept administration, the haemoglobin (Hb) level of patients should be assessed. In case of a red blood cell (RBC) transfusion occurring prior to dosing, the pre-transfusion Hb level must be considered for dosing purposes.

The recommended starting dose of Luspatercept is 1.0 mg/kg administered once every 3 weeks.

# *Myelodysplastic syndromes*

The recommended desired Hb concentration range is between 10 g/dL and 12 g/dL. Dose increase for insufficient response is provided below.

Table 1: Dose increase for insufficient response

Table 1: Bose merease for insufficient response	
Dose at 1 mg/kg	Dose increase
If after at least 2 consecutive doses at 1.0 mg/kg,	• Dose should be increased to
a patient:	1.33 mg/kg
<ul> <li>is not RBC transfusion- free, or</li> </ul>	
• does not reach Hb concentration of	
$\geq$ 10 g/dL and the Hb increase is < 1 g/dL	
Dose at 1.33 mg/kg	Dose increase
If after at least 2 consecutive doses at 1.33 mg/kg,	• Dose should be increased to
a patient:	1.75 mg/kg
<ul> <li>is not RBC transfusion- free, or</li> </ul>	
• does not reach Hb concentration of	
$\geq 10 \text{ g/dL}$ and the Hb increase is $< 1 \text{ g/dL}$	

The dose increase should not occur more frequently than every 6 weeks (2 administrations) and should not exceed the maximum dose of 1.75 mg/kg every 3 weeks. The dose should not be increased immediately after a dose delay.

For patients with a pre-dose Hb level of > 9 g/dL and who have not yet achieved transfusion independence, a dose increase may be required at the physician's discretion; the risk of Hb increasing above the target threshold with concomitant transfusion cannot be excluded.

If a patient loses response (i.e. transfusion independence), the dose should be increased by one dose level (see Table 2).

## *β*-thalassaemia

In patients who do not achieve a response, defined as a reduction in RBC transfusion burden of at least a third after  $\geq 2$  consecutive doses (6 weeks), at the 1.0 mg/kg starting dose, the dose should be increased to 1.25 mg/kg. The dose should not be increased beyond the maximum dose of 1.25 mg/kg every 3 weeks.

If a patient loses response (if the RBC transfusion burden increases again after an initial response) the dose should be increased by one dose level (see Table 3).

*Increase to next dose level* 

Increase to next dose level based on current dose is provided below.

Table 2: Increase to next dose level for MDS

Current dose	Increased dose
0.8 mg/kg	1 mg/kg
1 mg/kg	1.33 mg/kg
1.33 mg/kg	1.75 mg/kg

Table 3: Increase to next dose level for b-thalassaemia

Current dose	Increased dose
0.8 mg/kg	1 mg/kg
1 mg/kg	1.25 mg/kg

#### Dose reduction and dose delay

In case of Hb increase > 2 g/dL within 3 weeks in absence of transfusion compared with the Hb value at previous dose, Luspatercept dose should be reduced by one dose level.

If the Hb is 12 g/dL in the absence of transfusion for at least 3 weeks, the dose should be delayed until the Hb is  $\leq 11.0$  g/dL. If there is also a concomitant rapid increase in Hb from the Hb value at previous dose (> 2 g/dL within 3 weeks in absence of transfusion), a dose reduction to one step down should be considered after the dose delay.

Dose should not be reduced below 0.8 mg/kg (for MDS or transfusion-dependent β -thalassaemia).

Reduced dose during treatment with luspatercept are provided below.

**Table 4: Reduced dose for MDS** 

Current dose	Reduced dose
1.75 mg/kg	1.33 mg/kg
1.33 mg/kg	1 mg/kg
1 mg/kg	0.8 mg/kg

Table 5: Reduced dose for -thalassaemia

Current dose	Reduced dose
1.25 mg/kg	1 mg/kg
1 mg/kg	0.8 mg/kg

Dose modification due to adverse reactions

Instructions on dose interruptions or reductions for luspatercept treatment-related adverse reactions are outlined in Table 6.

**Table 6: Dose modification instructions** 

Treatment-related adverse reactions*	<b>Dose instructions</b>
Grade 2 adverse reactions (see section 4.8),	Interrupt treatment
including Grade 2 hypertension (see	• Restart at previous dose when adverse
sections 4.4 and 4.8)	reaction has improved or returned to baseline
Grade $\geq$ 3 hypertension (see sections 4.4 and	Interrupt treatment
4.8)	• Restart at reduced dose once the blood
	pressure is controlled as per dose reduction
	guidance
Other persistent Grade $\geq 3$ adverse reactions	Interrupt treatment
(see section 4.8)	• Restart at previous dose or at reduced dose
	when adverse reaction has improved or
	returned to baseline as per dose reduction
	guidance
Extramedullary haemopoiesis (EMH) masses	Discontinue treatment
causing serious complications (see	
sections 4.4 and 4.8)	

<sup>\*</sup> Grade 1: mild; Grade 2: moderate; Grade 3: severe; and Grade 4: life-threatening.

## Missed doses

In case of a missed or delayed scheduled treatment administration, the patient should be administered Luspatercept as soon as possible and dosing continued as prescribed with at least 3 weeks between doses.

## Patients experiencing a loss of response

If patients experience a loss of response to luspatercept, causative factors (e.g. a bleeding event) should be assessed. If typical causes for a loss of haematological response are excluded, dose increase should be considered as described above for the respective indication being treated (see Table 2 and Table 3).

#### Discontinuation

Luspatercept should be discontinued if patients do not experience a reduction in transfusion burden (for transfusion-dependent  $\beta$ -thalassaemia patients), or a decrease in transfusion burden including no increase from baseline Hb (for MDS patients) after 9 weeks of treatment (3 doses) at the maximum dose level, if no alternative explanations for response failure are found (e.g. bleeding, surgery, other concomitant illnesses) or if unacceptable toxicity occurs at any time.

# Special populations

## **Elderly**

No starting dose adjustment is required for luspatercept (see section 5.3). Limited data are available in  $\beta$ -thalassaemia patients  $\geq 60$  years of age.

#### Hepatic impairment

No starting dose adjustment is required for patients with total bilirubin (BIL) > upper limit of normal (ULN) and/or alanine aminotransferase (ALT) or aspartate aminotransferase (AST) < 3 x ULN (see section 5.3).

No specific dose recommendation can be made for patients with ALT or AST  $\geq$  3 x ULN or liver injury CTCAE Grade  $\geq$  3 due to lack of data (see section 5.3).

## Renal impairment

No starting dose adjustment is required for patients with mild or moderate renal impairment (individual estimated glomerular filtration rate [eGFR] 30 to 89 mL/min).

No specific dose recommendation can be made for patients with severe renal impairment (individual eGFR < 30 mL/min) due to lack of clinical data (see section 5.3). Patients with renal impairment at baseline have been observed to have higher exposure (see section 5.3). Consequently, these patients should be closely monitored for adverse reactions and dose adjustment should be managed accordingly (see Table 6).

## Paediatric population

There is no relevant use of luspatercept in the paediatric population for the indication of myelodysplastic syndromes, or in paediatric patients less than 6 years of age in  $\beta$ -thalassaemia. The safety and efficacy of luspatercept in the paediatric patients aged from 6 years to less than 18 years have not yet been established in  $\beta$ -thalassaemia. For non-clinical data, see section 6.

## Method of administration

For subcutaneous use.

After reconstitution, luspatercept solution should be injected subcutaneously into the upper arm, thigh or abdomen. The exact total dosing volume of the reconstituted solution required for the patient should be calculated and slowly withdrawn from the single-dose vial(s) into a syringe.

The recommended maximum volume of medicinal product per injection site is 1.2 mL. If more than 1.2 mL is required, the total volume should be divided into separate similar volume injections and administered across separate sites using the same anatomical location but on opposite sides of the body.

If multiple injections are required, a new syringe and needle must be used for each subcutaneous injection. No more than one dose from a vial should be administered.

If the luspatercept solution has been refrigerated after reconstitution, it should be removed from the refrigerator 15-30 minutes prior to injection to allow it to reach room temperature. This will allow for a more comfortable injection.

For instructions on reconstitution of the medicinal product before administration, see section 8.4.

## 4.3 Contraindications

- Hypersensitivity to the active substance or to any of the excipients listed in section 7.
- Pregnancy (see section 4.6).
- Patients requiring treatment to control the growth of EMH masses (see section 4.4).

## 4.4 Special warnings and precautions for use

## **Traceability**

In order to improve the traceability of biological medicinal products, the name and the batch number of the administered product should be clearly recorded.

## Thromboembolic events

In  $\beta$  thalassaemia patients, thromboembolic events (TEEs) were reported in 3.6% (8/223) of patients treated with luspatercept in the double-blind phase of the pivotal study in transfusion

dependent patients. Reported TEEs included deep vein thrombosis (DVT), portal vein thrombosis, pulmonary emboli, and ischaemic stroke (see section 4.8). All patients with TEEs were splenectomised and had at least one other risk factor for developing TEE (e.g. history of thrombocytosis or concomitant use of hormone replacement therapy). The occurrence of TEE was not correlated with elevated Hb levels. The potential benefit of treatment with luspatercept should be weighed against the potential risk of TEEs in  $\beta$  thalassaemia patients with a splenectomy and other risk factors for developing TEE. Thromboprophylaxis according to current clinical guidelines should be considered in patients with  $\beta$  thalassaemia at higher risk.

In MDS patients, TEEs were reported in 3.9% (13/335) of patients treated with luspatercept. Reported TEEs included cerebral ischemia and cerebrovascular accident in 1.2% (4/335) of patients. All TEEs occurred in patients with significant risk factors (atrial fibrillation, stroke or heart failure and peripheral vascular disease) and were not correlated with elevated Hb, platelet levels or hypertension.

## Extramedullary haemopoiesis masses

In transfusion-dependent  $\beta$ -thalassaemia patients, extramedullary haemopoiesis (EMH) masses were observed in 3.2% (10/315) of patients treated with luspatercept in the pivotal study and in the long term-follow-up study. Spinal cord compression symptoms due to EMH masses occurred in 1.9% (6/315) of patients treated with luspatercept (see section 4.8).

Patients with EMH masses may experience worsening of these masses and complications during treatment. Signs and symptoms may vary depending on anatomical location. Patients should be monitored at initiation and during treatment for symptoms and signs or complications resulting from the EMH masses, and be treated according to clinical guidelines. Treatment with luspatercept must be discontinued in case of serious complications due to EMH masses.

#### Increased blood pressure

In MDS and  $\beta$ -thalassaemia pivotal studies, patients treated with luspatercept had an average increase in systolic and diastolic blood pressure of up to 5 mmHg from baseline (see section 4.8).

The treatment must be started only if the blood pressure is adequately controlled. Blood pressure should be monitored prior to each luspatercept administration. Luspatercept dose may require adjustment or may be delayed and patients should be treated for hypertension as per current clinical guidelines (see Table 6 in section 4.2). The potential benefit of treatment with luspatercept should be re-evaluated in case of persistent hypertension or exacerbations of pre-existing hypertension.

## Traumatic fracture

In transfusion-dependent  $\beta$  -thalassaemia patients, traumatic fractures were observed in 0.4% (1/223) of patients treated with luspatercept.

## Sodium content

This medicinal product contains less than 1 mmol sodium (23 mg) per dose, that is to say essentially 'sodium free'.

## 4.5 Drug interactions

No formal clinical interaction studies have been performed. Concurrent use of iron-chelating agents had no effect on luspatercept pharmacokinetics.

# 4.6 Use in special populations

## Women of childbearing potential / Contraception in females

Women of childbearing potential have to use effective contraception during treatment with luspatercept and for at least 3 months after the last dose. Prior to starting treatment with luspatercept, a pregnancy test has to be performed for women of childbearing potential.

## **Pregnancy**

Treatment with luspatercept should not be started if the woman is pregnant (see section 4.3). There are no data from the use of luspatercept in pregnant women. Studies in animals have shown reproductive toxicity (see section 6). Luspatercept is contraindicated during pregnancy (see section 4.3). If a patient becomes pregnant, luspatercept should be discontinued.

## Breast-feeding

It is unknown whether luspatercept or its metabolites are excreted in human milk. Luspatercept was detected in the milk of lactating rats (see section 6). Because of the unknown adverse effects of luspatercept in newborns/infants, a decision must be made whether to discontinue breast-feeding during therapy with luspatercept and for 3 months after the last dose or to discontinue luspatercept therapy, taking into account the benefit of breast-feeding for the child and the benefit of therapy for the woman.

## <u>Fertility</u>

The effect of luspatercept on fertility in humans is unknown. Based on findings in animals, luspatercept may compromise female fertility (see section 6).

## 4.7 Effects on ability to drive and use machines

Luspatercept may have a minor influence on the ability to drive and use machines. The ability to react when performing these tasks may be impaired due to risks of fatigue, vertigo, dizziness or syncope (see section 4.8). Therefore, patients should be advised to exercise caution until they know of any impact on their ability to drive and use machines.

#### 4.8 Undesirable effects

# Summary of the safety profile

# *Myelodysplastic syndromes*

The most frequently reported adverse drug reactions in patients receiving luspatercept (at least 15% of patients) were fatigue, diarrhoea, nausea, asthenia, dizziness, oedema peripheral, , , and back pain. The most commonly reported Grade  $\geq 3$  adverse drug reactions (at least 2% of patients) included hypertension events (12.5%), syncope (3.6%), dyspnoea (2.7%), fatigue (2.4%), thrombocytopenia (2.4%) . The most commonly reported serious adverse drug reactions (at least 1% of patients) were urinary tract infection (1.8%), dyspnoea (1.5%) and back pain (1.2%) .

Asthenia, fatigue, nausea, diarrhoea, hypertension, dyspnoea, dizziness and headache occurred more frequently during the first 3 months of treatment.

Treatment discontinuation due to an adverse event occurred in 10.1% of patients treated with luspatercept. The most common reason for discontinuation in the luspatercept treatment arm was progression of underlying MDS.

Dose delays due to pre-dose Hb ≥12.0 g/dL occurred in 24.3% of luspatercept treated patients.

## **β**-thalassaemia

The most frequently reported adverse drug reactions in patients receiving luspatercept (at least 15% of patients) were headache, bone pain and arthralgia. The most commonly reported Grade  $\geq$  3 adverse drug reaction was hyperuricaemia. The most serious adverse reactions reported included thromboembolic events of deep vein thrombosis, ischaemic stroke portal vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism (see section 4.4).

Bone pain, asthenia, fatigue, dizziness and headache occurred more frequently during the first 3 months of treatment.

Treatment discontinuation due to an adverse reaction occurred in 2.6% of patients treated with luspatercept. The adverse reactions leading to treatment discontinuation in the luspatercept treatment arm were arthralgia, back pain, bone pain and headache.

## Tabulated list of adverse reactions

The highest frequency for each adverse reaction that was observed and reported in patients in the pivotal studies in MDS,  $\beta$ -thalassaemia and the long-term follow-up study is shown in Table 7 below. The adverse reactions are listed below by body system organ class and preferred term. Frequencies are defined as: very common ( $\geq 1/10$ ), common ( $\geq 1/100$ ) to < 1/10), uncommon ( $\geq 1/1,000$ ) to < 1/1,000), rare ( $\geq 1/10,000$ ) to < 1/1,000), very rare (< 1/10,000) and not known (frequency cannot be estimated from the available data).

# Table 7. Adverse drug reactions (ADRs) in patients treated with Luspatercept for MDS and $\beta$ -thalassaemia in the three pivotal studies

System organ class	Preferred term	Frequency	Frequency
		(all grades)	`
		for MDS	β-thalassaemia
Infections and infestations	bronchitis	common	Common <sup>a</sup>
	urinary tract	Very common	Common <sup>a</sup>
	infection		
	respiratory tract	Common	
	infection		
	upper respiratory	Common	Very common <sup>a</sup>
	tract infection		
	influenza	Common	Very common
Blood and lymphatic system	extramedullary	Not known VII	Common
disorders	haemopoiesis VI		
	thrombocytopenia	Common	
Immune system disorders	hypersensitivity I, VI	Common	Common
Metabolism and nutrition	hyperuricaemia	Common	Common
disorders	dehydration	Common	
	decreased appetite	Common	
	electrolyte	Very common	
	imbalance <sup>IX</sup>		
Psychiatric disorders	insomnia	Common	
	anxiety	Common	Common
	irritability		Common
	confusional state	Common	
Nervous system disorders	dizziness	Very common	Very common
	headache	Very common	Very common
	spinal cord		Common
	compression <sup>VI</sup>		
	syncope/presyncope	Common	Common <sup>a</sup>
Ear and labyrinth disorders	vertigo/vertigo	Common	Common <sup>a</sup>
	positional		
	. 1 (*1 *11 .*		
Cardiac disorders	atrial fibrillation	Common	
	cardiac failure	Common	
Vascular disorders			
, modulu diovi delo	hypertension <sup>II, VI</sup>	Very common	Very common
	tachycardia	Common	J
	thromboembolic	Common	Common
	events <sup>IV, VI</sup>		
Respiratory, thoracic and	cough	Very common	
mediastinal disorders	epistaxis	Common	
mediasunai disorders	chistaxis	COMMINION	

System organ class	Preferred term	Frequency (all grades) for MDS	β-thalassaemia
	dyspnoea <sup>VIII</sup>	Very common	Common
Gastrointestinal disorders	abdominal pain	Common	
	abdominal	Common	
	discomfort		
	diarrhoea	Very common	Very common <sup>a</sup>
	nausea	Very common	Very common
	hyperhidrosis	Common	
subcutaneous tissue disorders			
Musculoskeletal and		Very common	Very common
connective tissue disorders	arthralgia <sup>VI</sup>	Common	Very common
	bone pain <sup>VI</sup>	Common	Very common
	myalgia	Common	
	muscular weakness	Common	
Renal and urinary disorders			
	kidney injury <sup>X</sup>	Common	
General disorders and administration site conditions	non-cardiac chest	Common	
	influenza-like illness	Common	
	fatigue	Very common	Very common
	asthenia	Very common	Common
	injection site reactions <sup>III, VI</sup>	Common	Common
	oedema peripheral	Very common	
Investigations	alanine aminotransferase increased	Common	Common <sup>V</sup>
	aspartate aminotransferase increased	Common	Very common <sup>V</sup>
	blood bilirubin increased	Common	Very common <sup>V</sup>
	gamma- glutamyltransferase increased	Common	
Injury, poisoning and			Common
procedural complications			

The three pivotal studies are ACE-536-MDS-001(ESA-refractory or -intolerant MDS), ACE-536-MDS-002 (MDS) and ACE-536-B-THAL-001 (transfusion-dependent b-thalassaemia).

<sup>I</sup>Hypersensitivity includes eyelid oedema, drug hypersensitivity, swelling face, periorbital oedema, face oedema, angioedema, lip swelling, drug eruption.

<sup>II</sup>Hypertension includes essential hypertension, hypertension and hypertensive crisis.

- III Injection site reactions include injection site erythema, injection site pruritus, injection site swelling and injection site rash.
- <sup>IV</sup> TEEs include deep vein thrombosis, portal vein thrombosis, ischaemic stroke and pulmonary embolism.
- <sup>V</sup>Frequency is based on laboratory values of any grade.
- VI See section 4.8 Description of selected adverse reactions.
- VIIReported only in post-marketing.
- VIII Dyspnoea includes dyspnoea exertional for ACE-536-MDS-002.
  - <sup>IX</sup> Electrolyte imbalance includes bone, calcium, magnesium and phosphorus metabolism disorders and electrolyte and fluid balance conditions.
  - X ADR includes similar/grouped terms.
- <sup>a</sup> ADRs observed in transfusion-dependent β-thalassaemia study ACE-536-B-THAL-001.

# Description of selected adverse reactions

# Bone pain

Bone pain was reported in 2.4% of MDS patients treated with luspatercept with all events being Grade 1-2.

Bone pain was reported in 19.7% of transfusion-dependent  $\beta$ -thalassaemia patients treated with luspatercept (placebo 8.3%) with most events (41/44) being Grade-1-2, and 3 events Grade 3. One of the 44 events was serious, and 1 event led to treatment discontinuation. Bone pain was most common in the first 3 months (16.6%) compared to months 4-6 (3.7%).

# Arthralgia

Arthralgia was reported in 7.2% of MDS patients treated with luspatercept with 0.6% being ≥ Grade 3.

Arthralgia was reported in 19.3% of transfusion-dependent  $\beta$ -thalassaemia patients treated with luspatercept (placebo 11.9%) and led to treatment discontinuation in 2 patients (0.9%).

# Hypertension

MDS and  $\beta$ -thalassaemia patients treated with luspatercept had an average increase in systolic and diastolic blood pressure of up to 5 mmHg from baseline not observed in patients receiving placebo.

Hypertension events were reported in 12.5% of MDS patients treated with luspatercept (placebo 9.2%). Grade 3 hypertension events were reported in 25/335 patients (7.5%) treated with luspatercept (placebo 3.9%).

Hypertension was reported in 8.1% of transfusion-dependent β-thalassaemia patients treated with luspatercept (placebo 2.8%). See section 4.4. Grade 3 events were reported in 4 patients (1.8%) treated with luspatercept (placebo 0.0%).

# Hypersensitivity

Hypersensitivity-type reactions included eyelid oedema, drug hypersensitivity, swelling face, periorbital oedema, face oedema, angioedema, lip swelling, drug eruption.

Hypersensitivity-type reactions were reported in 4.6% of MDS patients (placebo 2.6%) with all events being Grade 1-2 in patients treated with luspatercept.

Face oedema occurred in 3.1% of non-transfusion-dependent  $\beta$ -thalassaemia patients (placebo 0.0%).

Hypersensitivity-type reactions were reported in 4.5% of transfusion-dependent  $\beta$ -thalassaemia patients treated with luspatercept (placebo 1.8%) with all events being Grade 1-2. Hypersensitivity led to treatment discontinuation in 1 patient (0.4%).

## *Injection site reactions*

Injection site reactions included injection site erythema, injection site pruritus, injection site swelling and injection site rash.

Injection site reactions were reported in 3.6% of MDS patients.

Injection site reactions were reported in 2.2% of transfusion-dependent  $\beta$ -thalassaemia patients (placebo 1.8%) with all events Grade 1 and none leading to discontinuation.

#### Thromboembolic events

TEEs included deep vein thrombosis, portal vein thrombosis, ischaemic stroke and pulmonary embolism.

TEEs were reported in 3.9% of MDS patients (placebo 3.9%). Reported TEEs included cerebral ischemia and cerebrovascular accident in 1.2% of patients. All TEEs occurred in patients with significant risk factors (atrial fibrillation, stroke or heart failure and peripheral vascular disease) and were not correlated with elevated Hb, platelet levels or hypertension. See section 4.4.

TEEs occurred in 3.6% of transfusion-dependent  $\beta$ -thalassaemia patients receiving luspatercept (placebo 0.9%).

All TEEs were reported in patients who had undergone splenectomy and had at least one other risk factor. See section 4.4.

## Extramedullary haemopoiesis masses

EMH masses occurred in 10/315 (3.2%) transfusion-dependent  $\beta$ -thalassaemia patients receiving luspatercept (placebo 0.0%). Five events were Grade 1-2, 4 events were Grade 3, and 1 event was Grade 4. Three patients discontinued due to EMH masses. See section 4.4.

EMH masses may also occur after extended treatment with luspatercept (i.e. after 96 weeks).

## Spinal cord compression

Spinal cord compression or symptoms due to EMH masses occurred in 6/315 (1.9%) transfusion-dependent  $\beta$ -thalassaemia patients receiving luspatercept (placebo 0.0%). Four patients discontinued treatment due to Grade  $\geq 3$  symptoms of spinal cord compression.

## Traumatic fracture

Traumatic fracture occurred in 1 (0.4%) transfusion-dependent b-thalassaemia patient receiving luspatercept (placebo 0.0%).

# *Immunogenicity*

In clinical studies in MDS, an analysis of 395 MDS patients who were treated with luspatercept and who were evaluable for the presence of anti-luspatercept antibodies showed that 36 (9.1%) patients tested positive for treatment-emergent anti-luspatercept antibodies, including 18 (4.6%) patients who had neutralising antibodies against luspatercept.

In clinical studies in  $\beta$ -thalassaemia, an analysis of 380  $\beta$ -thalassaemia patients who were treated with luspatercept and who were evaluable for the presence of anti-luspatercept antibodies showed that 7 (1.84%) patients tested positive for treatmentemergent anti-luspatercept antibodies, including 5 (1.3%) patients who had neutralising antibodies against luspatercept.

Luspatercept serum concentration tended to decrease in the presence of anti-luspatercept antibodies. There were no severe systemic hypersensitivity reactions reported for patients with anti-luspatercept antibodies. There was no association between hypersensitivity type reactions or injection site reactions and presence of anti-luspatercept antibodies. Patients with treatment -emergent anti-luspatercept antibodies were more likely to report a serious treatment -emergent adverse event (69.4% [25/36] for anti-luspatercept antibodies -positive patients vs. 45.7% [164/359] for anti-luspatercept antibodies -negative patients) or a Grade 3 or 4 treatment -emergent adverse event (77.8% [28/36] for anti-luspatercept antibodies -positive patients vs. 56.8% [204/359] for anti-luspatercept antibodies -negative patients) compared to patients without anti-luspatercept antibodies in the TD MDS pool.

# Other special population

# MDS patients without ring sideroblast (RS-)

RS- patients are more likely to experience serious adverse events, Grade 5 treatment -emergent adverse events, adverse events leading to drug discontinuation or dose reduction compared to patients with ring sideroblasts (RS+). In ACE-536-MDS-002 study, RS- patients showed higher incidence of some adverse reactions compared to RS+ patients in both treatment arms. When comparing RS subgroups in the luspatercept arm, asthenia, nausea, vomiting, dyspnoea, cough, thromboembolic events, alanine aminotransferase increased, aspartate aminotransferase increased, and thrombocytopenia occurred more frequently in the RS- subgroup.

## MDS patients with mutational status SF3B1 non-mutated

Patients with mutational status SF3B1 non-mutated are more likely to experience Grade 3 or 4 treatment -emergent adverse events, serious adverse events, Grade 5 treatment -emergent adverse events, adverse events leading to drug discontinuation, dose reduction as well as dose interruption compared to patients with mutational status SF3B1 mutated. Known luspatercept adverse reactions with a frequency  $\geq$  3% higher in the non-mutated SF3B1 luspatercept arm subgroup included vomiting, dyspnoea, and hypertension.

## Reporting of suspected adverse reactions

Reporting suspected adverse reactions after authorisation of the medicinal product is important. It allows continued monitoring of the benefit/risk balance of the medicinal product. Healthcare professionals are asked to report any suspected adverse reactions.

#### 4.9 Overdose

Overdose with luspatercept may cause an increase of Hb values above the desired level. In the event of an overdose, treatment with luspatercept should be delayed until Hb is  $\leq 11$  g/dL.

## 5. PHARMACOLOGICAL PROPERTIES

## 5.1 Mechanism of action

Pharmacotherapeutic group: Antianaemic preparations, other antianaemic preparations, ATC code: B03XA06.

#### Mechanism of action

Luspatercept, an erythroid maturation agent, is a recombinant fusion protein that binds selected transforming growth factor- $\beta$  (TGF- $\beta$ ) superfamily ligands. By binding to specific endogenous ligands (e.g. GDF-11, activin B) luspatercept inhibits Smad2/3 signalling, resulting in erythroid maturation through expansion and differentiation of late-stage erythroid precursors (normoblasts) in the bone marrow, thereby restoring effective erythropoiesis. Smad2/3 signalling is abnormally

high in disease models characterised by ineffective erythropoiesis, i.e. MDS and  $\beta$ -thalassaemia, and in the bone marrow of MDS patients.

# Somatic mutations in MDS patients

Luspatercept demonstrated clinical benefit and favourability over epoetin alfa across multiple genomic mutations that are frequently observed in lower-risk MDS with the exception of CBL gene mutations.

## 5.2 Pharmacodynamic properties

# Clinical efficacy and safety

# Myelodysplastic syndromes

The efficacy and safety of luspatercept were evaluated in a Phase 3 multicentre, randomised, open-label, active controlled study COMMANDS (ACE-536-MDS-002) comparing luspatercept *versus* epoetin alfa in patients with anaemia due to International Prognostic Scoring System-Revised (IPSS-R) very low-, low- or intermediate-risk MDS or with myelodysplastic/myeloproliferative neoplasm with ring sideroblasts and thrombocytosis (MDS/MPN RS-T) in ESA naïve patients (with endogenous sEPO levels of < 500 U/L) who require red blood cell transfusions. For eligibility, patients were required to have had 2 to 6 RBC units/8 weeks confirmed for a minimum of 8 weeks immediately preceding randomization. Patients with deletion 5q (del5q) MDS were excluded from the study.

Patients were treated for at least 24 weeks, unless the patient experienced unacceptable toxicities, withdrew the consent or met any other treatment discontinuation criteria. The treatment was continued beyond week 24 in case of clinical benefit (defined as a transfusion reduction of  $\geq 2$  pRBC units/8 weeks compared with baseline) and absence of disease progression. Based on the outcome of these assessments, patients either were discontinued from treatment and entered into the Post-Treatment Follow-up Period or continued open-label treatment (with luspatercept or epoetin alfa) as long as the above criteria continued to be met or until the patient experienced unacceptable toxicities, withdrew consent, or met any other discontinuation criteria.

A total of 363 patients were randomized to receive subcutaneously luspatercept (N=182) or epoetin alfa (N=181) at 1.0 mg/kg every 3 weeks or at 450 U/kg every week, respectively. Randomization was stratified by RBC transfusion burden, RS status, and endogenous serum erythropoietin (sEPO) level at baseline. Two dose level increases were allowed for luspatercept (to 1.33 mg/kg and to 1.75 mg/kg). Doses were held and subsequently reduced for adverse reactions, reduced if the haemoglobin increased by  $\geq 2$  g/dL from the prior cycle, and held if the pre-dose haemoglobin was  $\geq 12$  g/dL. All patients received best supportive care, which included RBC transfusions, use of antibiotic, antiviral and antifungal therapy, and nutritional support as needed. BSC for this study excluded the use of ESAs outside of the study treatment. The key baseline disease characteristics in MDS patients in ACE-536-MDS-002 are shown in Table 8.

	Luspatercept (N=182)	Epoetin alfa (N=181)
Demographics		
Agea (years)		
Median (min, max)	74 (46, 93)	74 (31, 91)
Age categories, n (%)		
≤64 years	27 (14.8)	25 (13.8)
65-74 years	68 (37.4)	66 (36.5)
≥75	87 (47.8)	90 (49.7)
Sex, n (%)		
Male	109 (59.9)	92 (50.8)
Female	73 (40.1)	89 (49.2)
Race, n (%)	( )	, ,
Asian	19 (10.4)	25 (13.8)
Black	2 (1.1)	0
White	146 (80.2)	143 (79)
Not collected or reported	15 (8.2)	13 (7.2)
Disease Characteristics		
Hb (g/dL), n (%) <sup>b</sup>		
Median (min, max)	7.80 (4.7, 9.2)	7.80 (4.5, 10.2)
Time since original MDS diagnosis (months) <sup>c</sup> Median	7.97	5.13
Serum EPO (U/L) categories, n (%)		
<200	145 (79.7)	144 (79.6)
>200	37 (20.3)	37 (20.4)
Median serum EPO	77.245	85.370
Serum ferritin (µg/L)	77.243	03.370
Median (min, max)	623.00	650.00
Wiedian (mm, max)	(12.4, 3170.0)	(39.4, 6960.5)
Baseline transfusion burden / 8 weeks <sup>e</sup> (pRBC		
units), n (%)		
<4 units	118 (64.8)	111 (61.3)
≥4 units	64 (35.2)	70 (38.7)
MDS Classification WHO 2016 at baseline, n		
(%)		
MDS-SLD	1 (0.5)	4 (2.2)
MDS-MLD	50 (27.5)	47 (26.0)
MDS-RS-SLD	2(1.1)	6 (3.3)
MDS-RS-MLD	127 (69.8)	118 (65.2)
MDS/MPN-RS-T	2 (1.1)	5 (2.8)
Missing	0	1 (0.6)

	Luspatercept (N=182)	Epoetin alfa (N=181)
IPSS-R classification risk category, n (%)		
Very low	16 (8.8)	17 (9.4)
Low	130 (71.4)	133 (73.5)
Intermediate	34 (18.7)	29 (16.0)
Other / missing	2 (1.1)	2 (1.1)
Ring sideroblast status (per WHO criteria), n		
(%)		
RS+	133 (73.1)	130 (71.8)
RS-	49 (26.9)	50 (27.6)
Missing	0	1 (0.6)
SF3B1 mutation status, n (%)		
Mutated	114 (62.6)	101 (55.8)
Non-mutated	65 (35.7)	72 (39.8)
Missing	3 (1.6)	8 (4.4)

Hb = haemoglobin; IPSSR=International Prognostic Scoring System-Revised; MDS-SLD=MDS with single lineage dysplasia; MDS-MLD=MDS with multilineage dysplasia; MDS-RS-SLD=MDS with ring sideroblasts with single lineage dysplasia; MDS-RS-MLD= MDS with ring sideroblasts with multilineage dysplasia; MDS/MPN-RS-T= myelodysplastic/myeloproliferative neoplasms with ring sideroblasts and thrombocytosis; RS+=with ring sideroblasts; RS-= without ring sideroblasts; SF3B1= Splicing Factor 3B Subunit 1A MDS mutation

The efficacy results are summarised below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Age was calculated based on the informed consent signing date.

b After applying the 14/3-day rule (only Hb values that are measured at least 14 days after a transfusion may be used unless there is another transfusion within 3 days after the Hb assessment. If a transfusion within 3 days after the Hb assessment occurs, that Hb value will be used despite being < 14 days after the previous transfusion), the baseline Hb value (efficacy) is defined as the lowest Hb value from the central, or local laboratory, or pre-transfusion Hb from transfusion records that is within the 35 days prior to the first dose of study drug, if it was available.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> The number of months from the date of original diagnosis to the date of informed consent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Baseline EPO was defined as the highest EPO value within the 35 days preceding the first dose of study drug.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> Collected over 8 weeks prior to randomisation.

Table 9: Efficacy results in MDS patients in ACE-536-MDS-002

Endpoint	Luspatercept (N=182)	Epoetin alfa (N=181)	
Primary endpoint			
• RBC-TI for 12 weeks with associated conce (Weeks 1-24)	urrent mean Hb incre	ase of ≥ 1.5 g/dL	
Number of responders (response rate %) (95% CI)	110 (60.4) (52.9, 67.6)	63 (34.8) (27.9, 42.2)	
Common Risk Difference (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	25.4 (15.8, 35.0)		
p-value	< 0.0001		
Odds Ratio (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	3.1 (2.0, 4.8)		
Secondary endpoints	·		
•HI-E per IWG ≥8 weeks (Weeks 1-24) <sup>b</sup>			
Number of responders (response rate %) (95% CI)	135 (74.2) (67.2, 80.4)	96 (53.0) (45.5, 60.5)	
Common Risk Difference (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	21.5 (12.2, 30.7)	21.5 (12.2, 30.7)	
p-value	< 0.0001	<0.0001	
Odds Ratio (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	2.8 (1.8, 4.5)		
• RBC-TI for 24 weeks (Weeks 1-24)	-		
Number of responders (response rate %) (95% CI)	87 (47.8) (40.4, 55.3)	56 (30.9) (24.3, 38.2)	
Common Risk Difference (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	16.3 (7.1, 25.4)		
p-value	0.0003	0.0003	
Odds Ratio (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	2.3 (1.4, 3.7)	2.3 (1.4, 3.7)	
• RBC-TI for ≥24 weeks (Weeks 1-48)	163	7	
Number of responders (response rate %) (95% CI)	99 (60.7) (52.8, 68.3)	(39.5) (32.1, 47.4)	
Common Risk Difference (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	20.7 (10.8, 30.6)	20.7 (10.8, 30.6)	
p-value	p <0.0001°	p <0.0001°	
Odds Ratio (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	2.6 (1.6, 4.3)	2.6 (1.6, 4.3)	
	1		

EOT = End of treatment Hb=haemoglobin; NE = Not Estimable; RBC = red blood transfusion <sup>a</sup> Based on CMH test stratified by baseline RBC transfusion burden ( $< 4, \ge 4$  pRBC units), RS status (RS+, RS-) and sEPO level ( $\le 200, > 200$  U/L). 1-sided p-value is presented.

<sup>b</sup> HI-E = haematological improvement – erythroid. The proportion of patients meeting the HI-E criteria as per International Working Group (IWG) 2006 criteria sustained over a consecutive 56-day period during the indicated treatment period. For patients with baseline RBC transfusion burden of ≥ 4 units/8 weeks, HI-E was defined as a reduction in RBC transfusion of at least 4 units/8 weeks. For patients with baseline RBC transfusion burden of < 4 units/8 weeks, HI-E was defined as a mean increase in Hb of ≥ 1.5 g/dL for 8 weeks in the absence of RBC transfusions. <sup>c</sup> Nominal p-value

The treatment effect of luspatercept on RBC-TI  $\geq$ 12 weeks and Hb increase of  $\geq$ 1.5 g/dL was higher than epoetin alfa across all clinically relevant baseline demographic and most disease characteristic subgroups, except in patients without ring sideroblasts, where the treatment effect of luspatercept was comparable to epoetin alfa.

*Myelodysplastic syndromes in ESA-refractory or -intolerant patients* 

The efficacy and safety of luspatercept were evaluated in a Phase 3 multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study MEDALIST (ACE-536-MDS-001) in adult patients with anaemia requiring RBC transfusions (≥ 2 units/8 weeks) due to IPSS-R very low-, low- or intermediate-risk MDS who have ring sideroblasts (≥ 15%). Patients with del5q MDS or without ring sideroblasts (RS-) were not included in the study. Patients were required to have either received prior treatment with an ESA with inadequate response, to be ineligible for ESAs (determined to be unlikely to respond to ESA treatment with serum erythropoietin (EPO) > 200 U/L), or intolerant to ESA treatment.

Patients in both arms were treated for 24 weeks, then continued treatment if they had demonstrated clinical benefit and absence of disease progression. The study was unblinded for analyses when all patients had at least received 48 weeks of treatment or discontinued treatment.

A total of 229 patients were randomised to receive luspatercept 1.0 mg/kg (N=153) or placebo (N=76) subcutaneously every 3 weeks. A total of 128 (83.7%) and 68 (89.5%) patients receiving luspatercept and placebo respectively completed 24 weeks of treatment. A total of 78 (51%) and 12 (15.8%) patients receiving luspatercept and placebo respectively completed 48 weeks of treatment. Dose titration up to 1.75 mg/kg was allowed. Dose could be delayed or reduced depending upon Hb level. All patients were eligible to receive best supportive care (BSC), which included RBC transfusions, iron-chelating agents, use of antibiotic, antiviral and antifungal therapy, and nutritional support, as needed. The key baseline disease characteristics in patients with MDS in ACE-536-MDS-001 are shown in Table 10.

Table 10. Baseline demographics and disease characteristics of MDS patients with <5% marrow blasts in ACE-536-MDS-001

N=153   N=76     Demographics     Age* (years)     Median (min, max)   71 (40, 95)   72 (26, 91)     Age categories, n (%)     ≤64 years   29 (19.0)   16 (21.1)     ≤5-74 years   72 (47.1)   29 (38.2)     ≤75   52 (34.0)   31 (40.8)     Sex, n (%)     Male   94 (61.4)   50 (65.8)     Female   59 (38.6)   26 (34.2)     Race, n (%)     Black   1 (0.7)   0 (0.0)     White   107 (69.9)   51 (67.1)     Not collected or reported   44 (28.8)   24 (31.6)     Other   1 (0.7)   1 (1.3)     Disease characteristics     Serum EPO (U/L) categories b, n (%)     ≤ 200   88 (57.5)   50 (65.8)     200 to 500   43 (28.1)   15 (19.7)     > 500   43 (28.1)   15 (19.7)     > 500   43 (28.1)   15 (19.7)     > 500   41 (13.7)   11 (14.5)     Missing   1 (0.7)   0     Serum ferritin (μg/L)     Median (min,max)   1089.2   1122.1     (64, 5968)   (165, 5849)     PSS-R classification risk category, n (%)     Very low   18 (11.8)   6 (7.9)     Low   109 (71.2)   57 (75.0)     Intermediate   25 (16.3)   13 (17.1)     Other   1 (0.7)   0     Baseline RBC transfusion burden/8 weeksc, n (%)     ≥ 6 and < 8 units   25 (16.3)   13 (17.1)     ≥ 10 units   66 (43.1)   33 (43.4)     ≥ 2 10 units   24 (15.7)   17 (22.4)     ≥ 12 units   24 (15.7)   17 (22.4)     ≥ 12 units   7 (4.6)   1 (1.3)     ≥ 4 and < 6 units   87 (56.9)   43 (56.6)     ≥ 4 and < 6 units   87 (56.9)   43 (56.6)     ≥ 4 units   41 (26.8)   23 (30.3)	narrow blasts in ACE-536-MDS-001	I wan atawaant	Dlacaka
Demographics         Age (years)       71 (40, 95)       72 (26, 91)         Median (min, max)       71 (40, 95)       72 (26, 91)         Age categories, n (%)       29 (19.0)       16 (21.1)         65-74 years       29 (19.0)       31 (40.8)         Sex, n (%)       29 (38.2)       25 (34.0)       31 (40.8)         Sex, n (%)       Male       94 (61.4)       50 (65.8)       50 (65.8)         Female       59 (38.6)       26 (34.2)       26 (34.2)         Race, n (%)       107 (69.9)       51 (67.1)       00 (0.0)         White       107 (69.9)       51 (67.1)       00 (0.0)		Luspatercept	Placebo
Age a (years)       71 (40, 95)       72 (26, 91)         Age categories, n (%)       70 (40, 95)       72 (26, 91)         4 years       29 (19.0)       16 (21.1)         65-74 years       72 (47.1)       29 (38.2)         ≥75       52 (34.0)       31 (40.8)         Sex, n (%)       46 (4.4)       50 (65.8)         Balack       9 (38.6)       26 (34.2)         Race, n (%)       107 (69.9)       51 (67.1)         Not collected or reported       44 (28.8)       24 (31.6)         Other       10 (0.7)       1 (1.3)         Disease characteristics       50 (65.8)         Serum EPO (U/L) categories b, n (%)       88 (57.5)       50 (65.8)         200 to 500       43 (28.1)       15 (19.7)         > 500       21 (13.7)       11 (14.5)         Missing       1 (0.7)       0         Serum ferritin (µg/L)       1089.2       1122.1         Median (min,max)       1089.2       1122.1         Wed (4, 5968)       (165, 5849)         IPSS-R classification risk category, n (%)       18 (11.8)       6 (7.9)         Very low       109 (71.2)       57 (75.0)         Intermediate       25 (16.3)       13 (17.1)	Domographics	(N=153)	(N=/0)
Median (min, max)       71 (40, 95)       72 (26, 91)         Age categories, n (%)       29 (19.0)       16 (21.1)         65-74 years       29 (19.0)       16 (21.1)         55-74 years       52 (34.0)       31 (40.8)         Sex, n (%)       44 (61.4)       50 (65.8)         Male       94 (61.4)       50 (65.8)         Female       59 (38.6)       26 (34.2)         Race, n (%)       10.7)       0 (0.0)         White       107 (69.9)       51 (67.1)         Not collected or reported       44 (28.8)       24 (31.6)         Other       1 (0.7)       1 (1.3)         Disease characteristics       Serum EPO (U/L) categories b, n (%)       88 (57.5)       50 (65.8)         200       88 (57.5)       50 (65.8)       20 (65.8)       30 (65.8)         200 to 500       43 (28.1)       15 (19.7)       10 (7)       0         Serum ferritin (µg/L)       10.7)       0       0         Median (min,max)       1089.2       1122.1       (64, 5968)       (165, 5849)         IPSS-R classification risk category, n (%)       18 (11.8)       6 (7.9)         Very low       18 (11.8)       6 (7.9)       10         Low       109 (71.2) <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td>		1	
Age categories, n (%)       29 (19.0)       16 (21.1)         65-74 years       72 (47.1)       29 (38.2)         ≥75       52 (34.0)       31 (40.8)         Sex, n (%)       94 (61.4)       50 (65.8)         Male       94 (61.4)       50 (65.8)         Female       59 (38.6)       26 (34.2)         Race, n (%)       107 (69.9)       51 (67.1)         Not collected or reported       44 (28.8)       24 (31.6)         Other       1 (0.7)       1 (1.3)         Disease characteristics         Serum EPO (U/L) categories b, n (%)         200 to 500       88 (57.5)       50 (65.8)         200 to 500       43 (28.1)       15 (19.7)         > 500       21 (13.7)       11 (14.5)         Missing       1 (0.7)       0         Serum ferritin (µg/L)         Median (min,max)       1089.2       1122.1         (64, 5968)       (165, 5849)         IPSS-R classification risk category, n (%)         Very low       18 (11.8)       6 (7.9)         Low       109 (71.2)       57 (75.0)         Intermediate       25 (16.3)       13 (17.1)         Other       1 (10.7)		71 (40, 05)	72 (2( 01)
<64 years   29 (19.0)   16 (21.1)   65-74 years   72 (47.1)   29 (38.2)   ≥75   52 (34.0)   31 (40.8)		/1 (40, 95)	72 (26, 91)
55-74 years   72 (47.1)   29 (38.2)     ≥75   52 (34.0)   31 (40.8)     Sex, n (%)		20 (10 0)	16 (21.1)
≥75   52 (34.0)   31 (40.8)		` /	
Sex, n (%)         Male         94 (61.4)         50 (65.8)           Female         59 (38.6)         26 (34.2)           Race, n (%)         1 (0.7)         0 (0.0)           Black         1 (0.7)         51 (67.1)           White         107 (69.9)         51 (67.1)           Not collected or reported         44 (28.8)         24 (31.6)           Other         1 (0.7)         1 (1.3)           Disease characteristics           Serum EPO (U/L) categories b, n (%)           < 200         88 (57.5)         50 (65.8)           200 to 500         43 (28.1)         15 (19.7)           > 500         21 (13.7)         11 (14.5)           Missing         1 (0.7)         0           Serum ferritin (µg/L)            Median (min,max)         1089.2         1122.1           (64, 5968)         (165, 5849)           IPSS-R classification risk category, n (%)           Very low         18 (11.8)         6 (7.9)           Low         109 (71.2)         57 (75.0)           Intermediate         25 (16.3)         13 (17.1)           Other         1 (0.7)         0           Baseline RBC transfusion burden/ 8		` /	\ /
Male Female       94 (61.4) 59 (38.6) 26 (34.2)         Race, n (%)       1 (0.7) 0 (0.0)         Black       1 (0.7) 51 (67.1)         Not collected or reported       44 (28.8) 24 (31.6)         Other       1 (0.7) 1 (1.3)         Disease characteristics         Serum EPO (U/L) categories b, n (%)         < 200		52 (34.0)	31 (40.8)
Female       59 (38.6)       26 (34.2)         Race, n (%)       Black       1 (0.7)       0 (0.0)         White       107 (69.9)       51 (67.1)         Not collected or reported       44 (28.8)       24 (31.6)         Other       1 (0.7)       1 (1.3)         Disease characteristics         Serum EPO (U/L) categories b, n (%)         < 200			
Race, n (%)       Black       1 (0.7)       0 (0.0)         White       107 (69.9)       51 (67.1)         Not collected or reported       44 (28.8)       24 (31.6)         Other       1 (0.7)       1 (1.3)         Disease characteristics         Serum EPO (U/L) categories b, n (%)         < 200		\ /	` /
Black		59 (38.6)	26 (34.2)
White       107 (69.9)       51 (67.1)         Not collected or reported       44 (28.8)       24 (31.6)         Other       1 (0.7)       1 (1.3)         Disease characteristics         Serum EPO (U/L) categories b, n (%)       \$8 (57.5)       50 (65.8)         200 to 500       43 (28.1)       15 (19.7)         > 500       21 (13.7)       11 (14.5)         Missing       1 (0.7)       0         Serum ferritin (µg/L)         Median (min,max)       1089.2       1122.1         (64, 5968)       (165, 5849)         IPSS-R classification risk category, n (%)         Very low       18 (11.8)       6 (7.9)         Low       109 (71.2)       57 (75.0)         Intermediate       25 (16.3)       13 (17.1)         Other       1 (0.7)       0         Baseline RBC transfusion burden/ 8 weeks², n (%)         ≥ 6 units       66 (43.1)       33 (43.4)         ≥ 6 and < 8 units			
Not collected or reported Other $44 (28.8)$ $1 (0.7)$ $24 (31.6)$ $1 (1.3)$ Disease characteristics         Serum EPO (U/L) categories b, n (%) $< 200$ $88 (57.5)$ $50 (65.8)$ $200 \text{ to } 500$ $43 (28.1)$ $15 (19.7)$ $> 500$ $21 (13.7)$ $11 (14.5)$ Missing $1 (0.7)$ $0$ Serum ferritin (µg/L)         Median (min,max) $1089.2$ (64, 5968) $(165, 5849)$ IPSS-R classification risk category, n (%)         Very low $18 (11.8)$ $6 (7.9)$ Low $109 (71.2)$ $57 (75.0)$ Intermediate $25 (16.3)$ $13 (17.1)$ Other $1 (0.7)$ $0$ Baseline RBC transfusion burden/ 8 weeksc, n (%) $\geq 6$ and $< 8$ units $66 (43.1)$ $33 (43.4)$ $\geq 6$ and $< 8$ units $35 (22.9)$ $15 (20.2)$ $\geq 8$ and $< 12$ units $7 (4.6)$ $1 (1.3)$ $< 6$ units $87 (56.9)$ $43 (56.6)$ $< 4$ and $< 6$ units $41 (26.8)$ $23 (30.3)$		` /	` '
Other $1 (0.7)$ $1 (1.3)$ Disease characteristics         Serum EPO (U/L) categories b, n (%)       88 (57.5)       50 (65.8) $200$ to $500$ $43 (28.1)$ $15 (19.7)$ $> 500$ $21 (13.7)$ $11 (14.5)$ Missing $1 (0.7)$ $0$ Serum ferritin (µg/L) $1089.2$ $1122.1$ Median (min,max) $1089.2$ $(165, 5849)$ IPSS-R classification risk category, n (%) $18 (11.8)$ $6 (7.9)$ Very low $18 (11.8)$ $6 (7.9)$ Low $109 (71.2)$ $57 (75.0)$ Intermediate $25 (16.3)$ $13 (17.1)$ Other $1 (0.7)$ $0$ Baseline RBC transfusion burden/8 weeks <sup>c</sup> , n (%) $26 \text{ units}$ $26 \text{ units}$ $\ge 6 \text{ and } < 8 \text{ units}$ $35 (22.9)$ $15 (20.2)$ $\ge 8 \text{ and } < 12 \text{ units}$ $24 (15.7)$ $17 (22.4)$ $\ge 12 \text{ units}$ $7 (4.6)$ $1 (1.3)$ $< 6 \text{ units}$ $87 (56.9)$ $43 (56.6)$ $\ge 4 \text{ and } < 6 \text{ units}$ $23 (30.3)$	White	` /	, ,
Disease characteristics         Serum EPO (U/L) categories $^b$ , n (%)       88 (57.5)       50 (65.8)         200 to 500       43 (28.1)       15 (19.7)         > 500       21 (13.7)       11 (14.5)         Missing       1 (0.7)       0         Serum ferritin (µg/L)       1089.2 (64, 5968)       (165, 5849)         Median (min,max)       18 (11.8)       6 (7.9)         Very low       18 (11.8)       6 (7.9)         Low       109 (71.2)       57 (75.0)         Intermediate       25 (16.3)       13 (17.1)         Other       1 (0.7)       0         Baseline RBC transfusion burden/8 weeks <sup>c</sup> , n (%)       ≥ 6 units       66 (43.1)       33 (43.4)         ≥ 6 and < 8 units	Not collected or reported	44 (28.8)	24 (31.6)
Serum EPO (U/L) categories b, n (%)         < 200		1 (0.7)	1 (1.3)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Disease characteristics		
200 to 500       43 (28.1)       15 (19.7)         > 500       21 (13.7)       11 (14.5)         Missing       1 (0.7)       0         Serum ferritin (µg/L)         Median (min,max)       1089.2 (64, 5968)       1122.1 (165, 5849)         IPSS-R classification risk category, n (%)         Very low       18 (11.8)       6 (7.9)         Low       109 (71.2)       57 (75.0)         Intermediate       25 (16.3)       13 (17.1)         Other       1 (0.7)       0         Baseline RBC transfusion burden/ 8 weeks <sup>c</sup> , n (%) $\geq$ 6 units       66 (43.1)       33 (43.4) $\geq$ 6 and < 8 units	Serum EPO (U/L) categories b, n (%)		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	< 200	88 (57.5)	50 (65.8)
Missing       1 (0.7)       0         Serum ferritin (μg/L)       1089.2 (64, 5968)       1122.1 (165, 5849)         Median (min,max)       1089.2 (64, 5968)       1122.1 (165, 5849)         IPSS-R classification risk category, n (%)         Very low       18 (11.8)       6 (7.9)         Low       109 (71.2)       57 (75.0)         Intermediate       25 (16.3)       13 (17.1)         Other       1 (0.7)       0         Baseline RBC transfusion burden/ 8 weeks <sup>c</sup> , n (%)       ≥ 6 units       66 (43.1)       33 (43.4)         ≥ 6 and < 8 units       35 (22.9)       15 (20.2)         ≥ 8 and < 12 units       24 (15.7)       17 (22.4)         ≥ 12 units       7 (4.6)       1 (1.3)         < 6 units       87 (56.9)       43 (56.6)         ≥ 4 and < 6 units       41 (26.8)       23 (30.3)	200 to 500	43 (28.1)	15 (19.7)
Serum ferritin (μg/L)       1089.2 (64, 5968)       1122.1 (165, 5849)         IPSS-R classification risk category, n (%)         Very low       18 (11.8)       6 (7.9)         Low       109 (71.2)       57 (75.0)         Intermediate       25 (16.3)       13 (17.1)         Other       1 (0.7)       0         Baseline RBC transfusion burden/ 8 weeks <sup>c</sup> , n (%)       ≥ 6 units       33 (43.4)         ≥ 6 and < 8 units	> 500	21 (13.7)	11 (14.5)
Median (min,max)       1089.2 (64, 5968)       1122.1 (165, 5849)         IPSS-R classification risk category, n (%)         Very low       18 (11.8)       6 (7.9)         Low       109 (71.2)       57 (75.0)         Intermediate       25 (16.3)       13 (17.1)         Other       1 (0.7)       0         Baseline RBC transfusion burden/8 weeks <sup>c</sup> , n (%)       26 units       33 (43.4)         ≥ 6 and < 8 units	Missing	1 (0.7)	0
IPSS-R classification risk category, n (%)         Very low       18 (11.8)       6 (7.9)         Low       109 (71.2)       57 (75.0)         Intermediate       25 (16.3)       13 (17.1)         Other       1 (0.7)       0         Baseline RBC transfusion burden/ 8 weeks <sup>c</sup> , n (%) $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\geq 6$ units $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\geq 8$ and $< 8$ units $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\geq 12$ units $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\leq 6$ units $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	Serum ferritin (µg/L)		
IPSS-R classification risk category, n (%)         Very low       18 (11.8)       6 (7.9)         Low       109 (71.2)       57 (75.0)         Intermediate       25 (16.3)       13 (17.1)         Other       1 (0.7)       0         Baseline RBC transfusion burden/ 8 weeks <sup>c</sup> , n (%) $66 (43.1)$ 33 (43.4) $\geq 6$ units $66 (43.1)$ 33 (43.4) $\geq 8$ and $< 12$ units $24 (15.7)$ 17 (22.4) $\geq 12$ units $7 (4.6)$ 1 (1.3) $< 6$ units $< 6$ units $< 6$ (56.9) $< 6$ (56.6) $< 6$ units $< 6$ (10.8) $< 6$ (23.1) $< 6$ (23.1) $< 6$ units $< 6$ (10.2) $< 6$ (23.1) $< 6$ (23.1) $< 6$ units $< 6$ (23.1) $< 6$ (23.1) $< 6$ (23.1) $< 6$ units $< 6$ (23.1) $< 6$ (23.1) $< 6$ (23.1) $< 6$ units $< 6$ (23.1) $< 6$ (23.1) $< 6$ (23.1) $< 6$ units $< 6$ (23.1) $< 6$ (23.1) $< 6$ (23.1) $< 6$ units $< 6$ (23.1) $< 6$ (23.1) $< 6$ (23.1) $< 6$ units $< 6$ (23.1) $< 6$ (23.1) $< 6$ (	Median (min,max)	1089.2	1122.1
Very low       18 (11.8)       6 (7.9)         Low       109 (71.2)       57 (75.0)         Intermediate       25 (16.3)       13 (17.1)         Other       1 (0.7)       0         Baseline RBC transfusion burden/ 8 weeks <sup>c</sup> , n (%) $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ $\geq 6$ units       66 (43.1)       33 (43.4) $\geq 6$ and $< 8$ units       35 (22.9)       15 (20.2) $\geq 8$ and $< 12$ units       24 (15.7)       17 (22.4) $\leq 12$ units       7 (4.6)       1 (1.3) $< 6$ units       87 (56.9)       43 (56.6) $\geq 4$ and $< 6$ units       41 (26.8)       23 (30.3)		(64, 5968)	(165, 5849)
Low $109 (71.2)$ $57 (75.0)$ Intermediate $25 (16.3)$ $13 (17.1)$ Other $1 (0.7)$ $0$ Baseline RBC transfusion burden/ 8 weeks <sup>c</sup> , n (%) $66 (43.1)$ $33 (43.4)$ $\geq 6$ units $66 (43.1)$ $33 (43.4)$ $\geq 8$ and $\leq 12$ units $24 (15.7)$ $17 (22.4)$ $\geq 12$ units $7 (4.6)$ $1 (1.3)$ $\leq 6$ units $87 (56.9)$ $43 (56.6)$ $\geq 4$ and $\leq 6$ units $41 (26.8)$ $23 (30.3)$	IPSS-R classification risk category, n (%)		
Low $109 (71.2)$ $57 (75.0)$ Intermediate $25 (16.3)$ $13 (17.1)$ Other $1 (0.7)$ $0$ Baseline RBC transfusion burden/ 8 weeks <sup>c</sup> , n (%) $66 (43.1)$ $33 (43.4)$ $\geq 6$ units $66 (43.1)$ $33 (43.4)$ $\geq 8$ and $\leq 12$ units $24 (15.7)$ $17 (22.4)$ $\geq 12$ units $7 (4.6)$ $1 (1.3)$ $\leq 6$ units $87 (56.9)$ $43 (56.6)$ $\geq 4$ and $\leq 6$ units $41 (26.8)$ $23 (30.3)$	Very low	18 (11.8)	6 (7.9)
Intermediate Other $25 (16.3)$ $13 (17.1)$ $0$ Baseline RBC transfusion burden/ 8 weeks <sup>c</sup> , n (%) $(66 (43.1))$ $(43.4)$ $($	Low	109 (71.2)	
Other       1 (0.7)       0         Baseline RBC transfusion burden/ 8 weeks <sup>c</sup> , n (%) $(66)$ $(43.1)$ $(43.4)$ $(66)$ <	Intermediate	25 (16.3)	
Baseline RBC transfusion burden/ 8 weeks <sup>c</sup> , n (%)       66 (43.1)       33 (43.4)         ≥ 6 and < 8 units	Other	\ /	` /
	Baseline RBC transfusion burden/ 8 weeks <sup>c</sup> , n (%)		
		66 (43.1)	33 (43.4)
$\geq 8 \text{ and} < 12 \text{ units}$ $\geq 12 \text{ units}$ $\leq 6 \text{ units}$ $\geq 4 \text{ and} < 6 \text{ units}$ $\geq 4 \text{ and} < 6 \text{ units}$ $\geq 4 \text{ and} < 6 \text{ units}$ $\geq 3 \text{ units}$ $\geq 4 \text{ and} < 6 \text{ units}$ $\geq 4 \text{ units}$		` ′	` /
$\geq$ 12 units $7 (4.6)$ $1 (1.3)$ $\leq$ 6 units $87 (56.9)$ $43 (56.6)$ $\leq$ 4 and $\leq$ 6 units $41 (26.8)$ $23 (30.3)$			,
$<6 \text{ units}$ $87 (56.9)$ $43 (56.6)$ $\ge 4 \text{ and } < 6 \text{ units}$ $41 (26.8)$ $23 (30.3)$			
$\geq$ 4 and < 6 units $41 (26.8)$ $23 (30.3)$	_	` /	
		` ′	` /
1 10 12 0 11 1 12 0 12 (1)	<pre>&lt; 4 units</pre>	46 (30.1)	20 (26.3)

	Luspatercept (N=153)	Placebo (N=76)
Hb <sup>d</sup> (g/dL)		
Median (min, max)	7.6 (6, 10)	7.6 (5, 9)
SF3B1, n (%)		
Mutated	149 (92.2)	65 (85.5)
Unmutated	12 (7.8)	10 (13.2)
Missing	0	1 (1.3)

EPO=erythropoietin; IPSS-R=International Prognostic Scoring System-Revised <sup>a</sup> Age was calculated based on the informed consent signing date.

The efficacy results are summarised below.

Table 11. Efficacy results in patients with MDS in study ACE-536-MDS-001

Endpoint	Luspatercept	Placebo
	(N=153)	(N=76)
Primary endpoint		
• RBC-TI ≥ 8 weeks (Week 1-24)		
Number of responders (response rate %)	58 (37.9)	10 (13.2)
• Common risk difference on response rate (95% CI)	24.56 (14.48, 34.64)	
Odds ratio (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	5.065 (2.278, 11.259)	
p-value <sup>a</sup>	< 0.0001	
Secondary endpoints		
• RBC-TI ≥ 12 weeks (Weeks 1-24)		
Number of responders (response rate %)	43 (28.1)	6 (7.9)
• Common risk difference on response rate (95% CI)	20.00 (10.92, 29.08)	
Odds ratio (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	5.071 (2.002, 12.844)	
p-value <sup>a</sup>	0.0002	
• RBC-TI ≥ 12 weeks (Weeks 1-48)		
Number of responders (response rate %) <sup>b</sup>	51 (33.3)	9 (11.8)
• Common risk difference on response rate (95% CI)	21.37 (11.23, 31.51)	
Odds ratio (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	4.045 (1.827, 8.956)	
p-value <sup>a</sup>	0.0003	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Baseline EPO was defined as the highest EPO value within 35 days of the first dose of study drug.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Collected over 16 weeks prior to randomisation.

d Baseline Hb was defined as the last value measured on or before the date of the first dose of investigational product (IP). After applying the 14/3 day rule, baseline Hb was defined as the lowest Hb value that was within 35 days on or prior to the first dose of IP.

Endpoint	Luspatercept (N=153)	Placebo (N=76)
Transfusion event frequency <sup>c</sup>	(	(= \ )
• Weeks 1-24		
Interval transfusion rate (95% CI)	6.26 (5.56, 7.05)	9.20 (7.98, 10.60)
Relative risk vs. placebo	0.68 (0.58, 0.80)	
• Weeks 25-48		
Interval transfusion rate (95% CI)	6.27 (5.47, 7.19)	8.72 (7.40, 10.28)
Relative risk vs. placebo	0.72 (0.60, 0.86)	
RBC Transfusion units <sup>c</sup>		
• Weeks 1-24		
Baseline transfusion burden <6 units/8 weeks		
LS Mean (SE)	7.2 (0.58)	12.8 (0.82)
95% CI for LS mean	6.0, 8.3	11.1, 14.4
LS mean difference (SE) (luspatercept vs.placebo)	-5.6 (1.01)	
95% CI for LS mean difference	-7.6, -3.6	
Baseline transfusion burden ≥6 units/8 weeks		
LS Mean (SE)	18.9(0.93)	23.7(1.32)
95% CI for LS mean	17.1, 20.8	21.1, 26.4
LS mean difference (SE) (luspatercept vs. placebo)	-4.8 (1.62)	
95% CI for LS mean difference	-8.0, -1.6	
• Weeks 25-48		
Baseline transfusion burden <6 units/8 weeks		
LS Mean (SE)	7.5 (0.57)	11.8(0.82)
95% CI for LS mean	6.3, 8.6	10.1, 13.4
LS mean difference (SE) (luspatercept vs. placebo)	-4.3 (1.00)	
95% CI for LS mean difference	-6.3, -2.3	
Baseline transfusion burden ≥6 units/8 weeks		
LS Mean (SE)	19.6(1.13)	22.9(1.60)
95% CI for LS mean	17.4, 21.9	19.7, 26.0
LS mean difference (SE) (luspatercept vs. placebo)	-3.3(1.96)	
95% CI for LS mean difference	-7.1, 0.6	

CI: confidence interval; CMH = Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel;

A treatment effect in favour of luspatercept over placebo was observed in most subgroups analysed using transfusion independence ≥12 weeks (during week 1 to week 24), including patients with high baseline endogenous EPO level (200-500 U/L) (23.3% vs.0%, explorative analysis).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> CMH test stratified for average baseline transfusion burden ( $\geq 6$  units vs. < 6 units per 8 weeks), and baseline IPSS-R score (very low or low vs. intermediate).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> After the Week 25 disease assessment visit, patients who were no longer deriving benefit discontinued therapy; few placebo patients contributed data for evaluation at the later timepoint compared with luspatercept (N=12 vs. N=78 respectively).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Post-hoc analysis using baseline imputation.

Only limited data are available for the group with transfusion burden of  $\geq 8$  units/8 weeks. Safety and efficacy have not been established in patients with a transfusion burden of  $\geq 12$  units/8 weeks.

## Exploratory findings

Table 12. Exploratory efficacy results in patients with MDS in study ACE-536-MDS-001

Endpoint	Luspatercept (N=153)	Placebo (N=76)
mHI-E <sup>a</sup>		
• Weeks 1-24		
Number of responders (response rate %)	81 (52.9)	9 (11.8)
(95% CI)	(44.72, 61.05)	(5.56, 21.29)
RBC transfusion reduction of 4 units/8 weeks, n (%)	52/107 (48.6)	8/56 (14.3)
Mean Hb increase of ≥ 1.5 g/dL for 8 weeks, n (%)	29/46 (63.0)	1/20 (5.0)
• Weeks 1-48		
Number of responders (response rate %)	90 (58.8)	13 (17.1)
(95% CI)	(50.59, 66.71)	(9.43, 27.47)
RBC transfusion reduction of 4 units/8 weeks, n (%)	58/107 (54.2)	12/56 (21.4)
Mean Hb increase of ≥ 1.5 g/dL for 8 weeks, n (%)	32/46 (69.6)	1/20 (5.0)
Mean change from baseline in mean serum ferritin with	h imputation by	baseline (ITT
population)		
Mean change from baseline in mean serum ferritin		
averaged over Weeks 9 through 24 (μg/L) <sup>b</sup>		
LS Mean (SE)	9.9 (47.09)	190.0 (60.30)
95% CI for LS Mean	-82.9, 102.7	71.2, 308.8
Treatment comparison (luspatercept vs placebo) <sup>c</sup>		
LS mean difference (SE)	-180.1 (65.81)	
95% CI for LS mean difference	-309.8, -50.4	

Hb=haemoglobin

a mHI-E = modified haematological improvement – erythroid. The proportion of patients meeting the HI-E criteria as per International Working Group (IWG) 2006 criteria sustained over a consecutive 56-day period during the indicated treatment period. For patients with baseline RBC transfusion burden of ≥ 4 units/8 weeks, mHI-E was defined as a reduction in RBC transfusion of at least 4 units/8 weeks. For patients with baseline RBC transfusion burden of < 4 units/8 weeks, mHI-E was defined as a mean increase in Hb of ≥ 1.5 g/dL for 8 weeks in the absence of RBC transfusions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> If a patient did not have a serum ferritin value within the designated postbaseline interval, the serum ferritin is imputed from the baseline value.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Analysis of covariance was used to compare the treatment difference between groups (including nominal p-value), with the change in serum ferritin as the dependent variable, treatment group (2 levels) as a factor, and baseline serum ferritin value as covariates, stratified by average baseline RBC transfusion requirement ( $\geq 6$  units vs. < 6 units of RBC per 8 weeks), and baseline IPSS-R (very low or low vs. intermediate).

The median duration of the longest RBC Transfusion Independent (RBC-TI) period among responders in the luspatercept treatment arm was 30.6 weeks.

A total of 62.1% (36/58) of the luspatercept responders who achieved RBC-TI  $\geq$  8 weeks from Weeks 1-24 had 2 or more episodes of RBC-TI at the time of analysis.

## **β**-thalassaemia

## *Transfusion-dependent b-thalassaemia*

The efficacy and safety of luspatercept were evaluated in a Phase 3 multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study BELIEVE (ACE-536-B-THAL-001) in adult patients with  $\beta$ -thalassaemia—associated anaemia who require RBC transfusions (6-20 RBC units/24 weeks) with no transfusion-free period > 35 days during that period.

Patients in both the luspatercept and placebo arms were treated for at least 48 and up to 96 weeks. After unblinding, placebo patients were able to cross-over to luspatercept.

A total of 336 adult patients were randomised to receive luspatercept 1.0 mg/kg (n=224) or placebo (n=112) subcutaneously every 3 weeks. Dose titration to 1.25 mg/kg was allowed. Dose could be delayed or reduced depending upon Hb level. All patients were eligible to receive BSC, which included RBC transfusions, iron-chelating agents, use of antibiotic, antiviral and antifungal therapy, and nutritional support, as needed. The study excluded patients with Hb S/ $\beta$ -thalassaemia or alpha ( $\alpha$ )-thalassaemia or who had major organ damage (liver disease, heart disease, lung disease, renal insufficiency). Patients with recent DVT or stroke or recent use of ESA, immunosuppressant or hydroxyurea therapy were also excluded. The key baseline disease characteristics in patients with  $\beta$ -thalassaemia in study ACE-536-B-THAL-001 are shown in Table 13.

Table 13. Baseline demographics and disease characteristics of patients with transfusion-dependent βthalassaemia in study ACE536BTHAL001

	Luspatercept	Placebo
	(N=224)	(N=112)
Demographics		
Age (years)		
Median (min, max)	30.0 (18, 66)	30.0 (18, 59)
Age categories, n (%)		
≤ 32	129 (57.6)	63 (56.3)
$> 32 \text{ to} \le 50$	78 (34.8)	44 (39.3)
> 50	17 (7.6)	5 (4.5)
Sex, n (%)		
Male	92 (41.1)	49 (43.8)
Female	132 (58.9)	63 (56.3)

	Luspatercept (N=224)	Placebo (N=112)
Race, n (%)	(11-224)	(11-112)
Asian	81 (36.2)	36 (32.1)
Black	1 (0.4)	0
White	122 (54.5)	60 (53.6)
Not collected or reported	5 (2.2)	5 (4.5)
Other	15 (6.7)	11 (9.8)
Disease characteristics		
Pretransfusion Hb threshold <sup>a</sup> , 12 week run-in (g/dL)		9.14 (6.2,
Median (min, max)	9.30 (4.6, 11.4)	11.5)
Baseline transfusion burden 12 weeks		
Median (min, max)		6.27 (3.0,
(units/12 weeks) (Week -12 to Day 1)	6.12 (3.0, 14.0)	12.0)
β-thalassaemia gene mutation grouping, n (%)		
β0/β0	68 (30.4)	35 (31.3)
Non-β0/β0	155 (69.2)	77 (68.8)
Missing <sup>b</sup>	1 (0.4)	0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>The 12-week pretransfusion threshold was defined as the mean of all documented pretransfusions Hb values for a patient during the 12 weeks prior to Cycle 1 Day 1.

The study was unblinded for analyses when all patients had at least received 48 weeks of treatment or discontinued treatment.

The efficacy results are summarised below.

Table 14. Efficacy results in patients with transfusion-dependent  $\beta$ thalassaemia in study ACE536BTHAL001

Endpoint	Luspatercept	Placebo
	(N=224)	(N=112)
Primary endpoint		
≥ 33% reduction from baseline in RBC		
transfusion burden with a reduction of at least		
2 units for 12 consecutive weeks compared to the		
12-week interval prior to treatment		
Weeks 13-24	47 (21.0)	5 (4.5)
Difference in proportions (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	16.5 (10.0, 23.1)	
p-value <sup>b</sup>	< 0.0001	
Secondary endpoints		
Weeks 37-48	44 (19.6)	4 (3.6)
Difference in proportions (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	16.1 (9.8, 22.3)	•
p-value <sup>b</sup>	< 0.0001	

b "Missing" category includes patients in the population who had no result for the parameter listed.

Endpoint	Luspatercept	Placebo
	(N=224)	(N=112)
Primary endpoint		
≥ 50% reduction from baseline in RBC		
transfusion burden with a reduction of at least		
2 units for 12 consecutive weeks compared to the		
12-week interval prior to treatment		
Weeks 13-24	16 (7.1)	2 (1.8)
Difference in proportions (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	5.4 (1.2, 9.5)	
p-value <sup>b</sup>	0.0402	
Weeks 37-48	23 (10.3)	1 (0.9)
Difference in proportions (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	9.4 (5.0, 13.7)	
p-value <sup>b</sup>	0.0017	

CI: confidence interval.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm a}$  Difference in proportions (luspatercept + BSC – placebo + BSC) and 95% CIs estimated from the unconditional exact test.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> P-value from the Cochran Mantel-Haenszel test stratified by the geographical region.

# Exploratory findings

Table 15. Exploratory efficacy results in patients with transfusion-dependent β-thalassaemia in study ACE-536-B-THAL-001

Endpoint	Luspatercept	Placebo		
	(N=224)	(N=112)		
≥ 33% reduction from baseline in RBC transfusi	ion burden with a	reduction of at		
least 2 units for 12 consecutive weeks compared	least 2 units for 12 consecutive weeks compared to the 12-week interval prior to			
treatment				
Any consecutive 12 weeks*	173 (77.2)	39 (34.8)		
Difference in proportions (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	42.4 (31.5, 52.5)			
Any consecutive 24 weeks*	116 (51.8)	3 (2.7)		
Difference in proportions (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	49.1 (41.3, 56.2)	•		
≥ 50% reduction from baseline in RBC transfusi	ion burden with a	reduction of at		
least 2 units for 12 consecutive weeks compared	to the 12-week in	terval prior to		
treatment		_		
Any consecutive 12 weeks*	112 (50.0)	9 (8.0)		
Difference in proportions (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	42.0 (32.7, 49.9)			
Any consecutive 24 weeks*	53 (23.7)	1 (0.9)		
Difference in proportions (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	22.8 (16.5, 29.1)	•		
Least square (LS) mean change from baseline in the	ransfusion burden (	RBC units/48		
weeks)				
Weeks 1 to Week 48				
LS mean	-4.69	+1.17		
LS mean of difference (luspatercept-placebo)	-5.86			
(95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	(-7.04, -4.68)			
Weeks 49 to Week 96				
LS mean	-5.43	+1.80		
LS mean of difference (luspatercept-placebo)	-7.23			
(95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	(-13.84, -0.62)			

ANCOVA = analysis of covariance; CI: confidence interval.

A reduction in mean serum ferritin levels was observed from baseline in the luspatercept arm compared to an increase in the placebo arm at Week 48 (-235.56  $\mu$ g/L vs. +107.03  $\mu$ g/L which resulted in a least square mean treatment difference of 342.59  $\mu$ g/L (95% CI: -498.30, -186.87).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Difference in proportions (luspatercept + BSC – placebo + BSC) and 95% CIs estimated from the unconditional exact test.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Estimates are based on ANCOVA model with geographical regions and baseline transfusion burden as covariates

<sup>\*</sup> Placebo patients are assessed up to prior to crossing over to luspatercept. For the rolling analyses at any consecutive 12 / 24 weeks, luspatercept treatment arm does not include placebo patients who crossed over to luspatercept.

A total of 85% (147/173) of luspatercept responders who achieved at least a 33% reduction in transfusion burden during any consecutive 12-week interval achieved 2 or more episodes of response at the time of analysis.

## Paediatric population

## Myelodysplastic syndromes

The European Medicines Agency has waived the obligation to submit the results of studies with Luspatercept in all subsets of the paediatric population in myelodysplastic syndromes (see section 4.2 for information on paediatric use).

## *B-thalassaemia*

The European Medicines Agency has deferred the obligation to submit the results of studies with Luspatercept in one or more subsets of paediatric population older than 6 years of age in b-thalassaemia (see section 4.2 for information on paediatric use).

# 5.3 Pharmacokinetic properties

## <u>Absorption</u>

In healthy volunteers and patients, luspatercept is slowly absorbed following subcutaneous administration, with the  $C_{max}$  in serum often observed approximately 7 days post-dose across all dose levels. Population pharmacokinetic (PK) analysis suggests that the absorption of luspatercept into the circulation is linear over the range of studied doses, and the absorption is not significantly affected by the subcutaneous injection location (upper arm, thigh or abdomen). Interindividual variability in AUC was approximately 37% in  $\beta$ -thalassaemia and MDS patients.

#### Distribution

At the recommended doses, the geometric mean apparent volume of distribution was 9.57 L for MDS patients and 7.26 L for  $\beta$ -thalassaemia patients. The small volume of distribution indicates that luspatercept is confined primarily in extracellular fluids, consistent with its large molecular mass.

#### Biotransformation

Luspatercept is expected to be catabolised into amino acids by general protein degradation process.

## Elimination

Luspatercept is not expected to be excreted into urine due to its large molecular mass that is above the glomerular filtration size exclusion threshold. At the recommended doses, the geometric mean apparent total clearance was 0.47 L/day for MDS patients and 0.44 L/day for  $\beta$ -thalassaemia. The geometric mean half-lives in serum were approximately 14 days for MDS patients and 11 days for  $\beta$ -thalassaemia patients.

## Linearity/non-linearity

The increase of luspatercept  $C_{max}$  and AUC in serum is approximately proportional to increases in dose from 0.125 to 1.75 mg/kg. Luspatercept clearance was independent of dose or time.

When administered every three weeks, luspatercept serum concentration reaches the steady state after 3 doses, with an accumulation ratio of approximately 1.5.

# Hb response

In patients who received < 4 units of RBC transfusion within 8 weeks prior to the study, Hb increased within 7 days of treatment initiation and the increase correlated with the time to reach luspatercept  $C_{max}$ . The greatest mean Hb increase was observed after the first dose, with additional smaller increases observed after subsequent doses. Hb levels returned to baseline value approximately 6 to 8 weeks from the last dose (0.6 to 1.75 mg/kg). Increasing luspatercept serum exposure (AUC) was associated with a greater Hb increase in patients with ESA refractory or -intolerant MDS or  $\beta$ -thalassaemia.

# Special populations

## Elderly

Population PK analysis for luspatercept included patients with ages ranging from 18 to 95 years old, with a median age of 72 years for MDS patients and of 33 years for  $\beta$ -thalassaemia patients. No clinically significant difference in AUC or clearance was found across age groups in MDS patients (< 65, 65-74, and  $\geq$  75 years) or in  $\beta$ -thalassaemia patients (18 to 71 years).

## Hepatic impairment

Population PK analysis for luspatercept included patients with normal hepatic function (BIL, ALT, and AST  $\leq$  ULN; N = 373), mild hepatic impairment (BIL > 1 - 1.5 x ULN, and ALT or AST > ULN; N = 216), moderate hepatic impairment (BIL > 1.5 - 3 x ULN, any ALT or AST; N = 189), or severe hepatic impairment (BIL > 3 x ULN, any ALT or AST; N = 74) as defined by the National Cancer Institute criteria of hepatic dysfunction. Effects of hepatic function categories, elevated liver enzymes (ALT or AST, up to 3 x ULN) and elevated total BIL (4 - 246  $\mu$ mol/L) on luspatercept clearance were not observed. No clinically significant difference in mean steady state  $C_{max}$  and AUC was found across hepatic function groups. PK data are insufficient for patients with liver enzymes (ALT or AST)  $\geq$  3 x ULN. No PK data are available for patients with liver cirrhosis (Child-Pugh Classes A, B and C) as no dedicated study was performed.

## Renal impairment

Population PK analysis for luspatercept included patients with normal renal function (eGFR  $\geq$  90 mL/min; N = 471), mild renal impairment (individual eGFR 60 to 89 mL/min; N = 278), or moderate renal impairment (individual eGFR 30 to 59 mL/min; N = 93) as defined by Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) formula. Luspatercept steady-state serum exposure (AUC) was 24% to 41% higher in patients with mild to moderate renal impairment than in patients with normal renal function. PK data are insufficient for patients with severe renal impairment (individual eGFR < 30 mL/min) or end-stage kidney disease.

## Other intrinsic factors

The following population characteristics have no clinically significant effect on luspatercept AUC or clearance: sex and race (Asian vs. White).

The following baseline disease characteristics had no clinically significant effect on luspatercept clearance: serum erythropoietin level (2.4 – 2920 U/L), RBC transfusion burden (0 – 43 units/24 weeks), MDS ring sideroblasts,  $\beta$ -thalassaemia genotype ( $\beta$ 0/ $\beta$ 0 vs. non- $\beta$ 0/ $\beta$ 0) and splenectomy.

The volume of distribution and clearance of luspatercept increased with increase of body weight (33 - 124 kg), supporting the body weight-based dosing regimen.

## 6. NON-CLINICAL PROPERTIES

## 6.1 Animal toxicology or Pharmacology (Preclinical safety data)

# Single and repeat-dose toxicity

Following repeated administration of luspatercept in rats, toxicities included: membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis; congestion, necrosis and/or mineralisation of the adrenal glands; hepatocellular vacuolation and necrosis; mineralisation of the glandular stomach; and decreased heart and lung weights with no associated histology findings. A clinical observation of swollen hindlimbs/feet was noted in several studies in rats and rabbits (including juvenile and reproductive toxicity studies). In one juvenile rat, this correlated histopathologically with new bone formation, fibrosis, and inflammation. Membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis was also seen in monkeys. Additional toxicities in monkeys included: vascular degeneration and inflammatory infiltrates in the choroid plexus.

For the 6-month toxicity study, the longest duration study in monkeys, the no-observed-adverse-effect level (NOAEL) was 0.3 mg/kg (0.3-fold of clinical exposure at 1.75 mg/kg every 3 weeks). A NOAEL was not identified in rats and the lowest-observed-adverse-effect-level (LOAEL) in the rat 3-month study was 1 mg/kg (0.9-fold of clinical exposure at 1.75 mg/kg every 3 weeks).

## Carcinogenesis and mutagenesis

Neither carcinogenicity nor mutagenicity studies with luspatercept have been conducted. Haematological malignancies were observed in 3 out of 44 rats examined in the highest dose group (10 mg/kg) in the definitive juvenile toxicity study. The occurrence of these tumours in young animals is unusual and the relationship to luspatercept therapy cannot be ruled out. At the 10 mg/kg dose, at which tumours were observed, the exposure represents an exposure multiple of approximately 4 times the estimated exposure at a clinical dose of 1.75 mg/kg every three weeks.

No other proliferative or pre-neoplastic lesions, attributable to luspatercept, have been observed in any species in other non-clinical safety studies conducted with luspatercept, including the 6-month study in monkeys.

## **Fertility**

In a fertility study in rats, administration of luspatercept to females at doses higher than the currently recommended highest human dose reduced the average number of corpora lutea, implantations and viable embryos. No such effects were observed when exposure in animals was

at 1.5 times the clinical exposure. Effects on fertility in female rats were reversible after a 14-week recovery period.

Administration of luspatercept to male rats at doses higher than the currently recommended highest human dose had no adverse effect on male reproductive organs or on their ability to mate and produce viable embryos. The highest dose tested in male rats yielded an exposure approximately 7 times the clinical exposure.

# Embryo-foetal development (EFD)

Embryo-foetal developmental toxicology studies (range-finding and definitive studies) were conducted in pregnant rats and rabbits. In the definitive studies, doses of up to 30 mg/kg or 40 mg/kg every week were administered twice during the period of organogenesis. Luspatercept was a selective developmental toxicant (dam not affected; foetus affected) in the rat and a maternal and foetal developmental toxicant (doe and foetus affected) in the rabbit. Embryofoetal effects were seen in both species and included reductions in numbers of live foetuses and foetal body weights, increases in resorptions, post-implantation loss and skeletal variations and, in rabbit foetuses, malformations of the ribs and vertebrae. In both species, effects of luspatercept were observed in the EFD studies at the lowest dose tested, 5 mg/kg, which corresponds to an estimated exposure in rats and rabbits of approximately 2.7 and 5.5 times greater, respectively, than the estimated clinical exposure.

# Pre- and post-natal development

In a pre- and post-natal development study, with dose levels of 3, 10, or 30 mg/kg administered once every 2 weeks from gestational day (GD) 6 through post-natal day (PND) 20, adverse findings at all doses consisted of lower F<sub>1</sub> pup body weights in both sexes at birth, throughout lactation, and post weaning (PND 28); lower body weights during the early premating period (Weeks 1 and 2) in the F<sub>1</sub> females (adverse only at the 30 mg/kg/dose) and lower body weights in F<sub>1</sub> males during the premating, pairing and post-mating periods; and microscopic kidney findings in F<sub>1</sub> pups. Additionally, non-adverse- findings included delayed male sexual maturation at the 10 and 30 mg/kg/dose. The delay in growth and the adverse kidney findings, in the F<sub>1</sub> generation, precluded the determination of a NOAEL for F<sub>1</sub> general and developmental toxicity. However, there was no effect on behavioural indices, fertility or reproductive parameters at any dose level in either sex, therefore the NOAEL for behavioural assessments, fertility and reproductive function in the F<sub>1</sub> animals was considered to be the 30 mg/kg/dose. Luspatercept is transferred through the placenta of pregnant rats and rabbits and is excreted into the milk of lactating rats.

## Juvenile toxicity

In a study in juvenile rats, luspatercept was administered from postnatal day (PND) 7 to PND 91 at 0, 1, 3, or 10 mg/kg. Many of the findings seen in repeat-dose toxicity studies in adult rats were repeated in the juvenile rats. These findings included glomerulonephritis in the kidney, haemorrhage/congestion, necrosis and mineralization of the adrenal gland, mucosal mineralization in the stomach, lower heart weights, and swollen hindlimbs/feet. Luspatercept-related findings unique to juvenile rats included tubular atrophy/hypoplasia of the kidney inner medulla, delays in the mean age of sexual maturation in males, effects on reproductive performance (lower mating indices), and non-adverse decreases in bone mineral density in both male and female rats. The effects on reproductive performance were observed after a greater than 3-month recovery period,

suggesting a permanent effect. Although reversibility of the tubular atrophy/hypoplasia was not examined, these effects are also considered to be irreversible. Adverse effects on the kidney and reproductive system were observed at clinically relevant exposure levels and seen at the lowest dose tested and, thus, a NOAEL was not established. In addition, haematological malignancies were observed in 3 out of 44 rats examined in the highest dose group (10 mg/kg). These findings are all considered potential risks in paediatric patients.

## 7. DESCRIPTION

Please refer section 2- Qualitative and Quantitative information for drug product description information.

## List of excipients

Citric acid monohydrate
Tri-sodium citrate Dihydrate
Polysorbate 80
Sucrose
Hydrochloric acid (for pH adjustment)
Sodium hydroxide (for pH adjustment)
Water for Injection

## 8. PHARMACEUTICAL PARTICULARS

## 8.1 Incompatibilities

This medicinal product must not be mixed with other medicinal products except those mentioned in section 8.4.

## 8.2 Shelf life

## Unopened vial

Refer to the outer carton, for the expiry date.

## After reconstitution

When stored in the original container, chemical and physical in-use stability of the reconstituted medicinal product has been demonstrated for up to 8 hours at room temperature ( $\leq 25$ °C) or for up to 24 hours at 2°C - 8°C.

From a microbiological point of view, the medicinal product should be used immediately. If not used immediately, in-use storage times and conditions prior to use are the responsibility of the user and should not be longer than 24 hours at  $2^{\circ}$ C -  $8^{\circ}$ C.

Do not freeze the reconstituted solution.

# 8.3 Packaging information

## Luspatercept 25 mg powder for solution for injection

3 mL Type I glass vial with a hydrophobic inner coating closed with a bromobutyl rubber stopper and aluminium seal with yellow polypropylene flip-off cap.

# Luspatercept 75 mg powder for solution for injection

3 mL Type I glass vial with a hydrophobic inner coating closed with a bromobutyl rubber stopper and aluminium seal with orange polypropylene flip-off cap.

Pack size: 1 vial

# 8.4 Storage and handling instructions

Store in a refrigerator ( $2^{\circ}\text{C} - 8^{\circ}\text{C}$ ).

Do not freeze.

Store in the original carton in order to protect from light.

For storage conditions after reconstitution of the medicinal product, see section 8.2.

## Special precautions for disposal and other handling

Luspatercept must be reconstituted gently prior to administration. Aggressive shaking should be avoided.

## Reconstitution of the product

Luspatercept is supplied as a lyophilised powder for reconstitution before use. Only water for injections (WFI) should be used when reconstituting Luspatercept.

The appropriate number of luspatercept vials should be reconstituted to achieve the desired dose. A syringe with appropriate graduations must be used for reconstitution to ensure accurate dosage.

# The following steps should be followed for reconstitution:

1. Remove the coloured cap from the vial and wipe the top with an alcohol wipe.

## 2. <u>Luspatercept 25 mg powder for solution for injection</u>

Add 0.68 mL WFI into the vial by means of a syringe with appropriate graduations with a needle directing the flow onto the lyophilised powder. Allow to stand for one minute. Each 25 mg single-dose vial will deliver at least 0.5 mL of 50 mg/mL luspatercept.

# Luspatercept 75 mg powder for solution for injection

Add 1.6 mL WFI into the vial by means of a syringe with appropriate graduations with a needle directing the flow onto the lyophilised powder. Allow to stand for one minute. Each 75 mg single-dose vial will deliver at least 1.5 mL of 50 mg/mL luspatercept.

- 3. Discard the needle and syringe used for reconstitution. Do not use them for subcutaneous injection.
- 4. Gently swirl the vial in a circular motion for 30 seconds. Stop swirling and let the vial sit in an upright position for 30 seconds.
- 5. Inspect the vial for undissolved powder in the solution. If undissolved powder is observed, repeat step 4 until the powder is completely dissolved.
- 6. Invert the vial and gently swirl in an inverted position for 30 seconds. Bring the vial back to the upright position and let it sit for 30 seconds.
- 7. Repeat step 6 seven more times to ensure complete reconstitution of material on the sides of the vial.
- 8. Visually inspect the reconstituted solution prior to administration. When properly mixed, Luspatercept reconstituted solution is a colourless to slightly yellow, clear to slightly opalescent solution which is free of visible foreign particulate matter. Do not use if undissolved product or foreign particulate matter is observed.
- 9. If the reconstituted solution is not used immediately, see section 8.2 or storage conditions.

Any unused medicinal product or waste material should be disposed of in accordance with local requirements.

## 9 .PATIENT COUNSELLING INFORMATION

Please refer to section 4.4 Special warnings and precautions for use and section 4.6 Fertility, pregnancy and lactation for patient counselling information.

#### 10. DETAILS OF MANUFACTURER

Manufactured by:

Bristol-Myers Squibb Company of Princeton, New Jersey, USA having premises at Celgene Distribution B.V., Orteliuslaan 1000, 3528BD Utrecht, Netherlands

Imported and marketed by:

Bristol-Myers Squibb India Private Limited,

Bldg.B-4, Gala No. 3B & 4B, Citylink Warehousing Complex, Mumbai Nashik Highway, Vadape Village, Taluka: Bhiwandi – 16, District: Thane Z5, Pin- 421302, India

Registered office address -

Bristol-Myers Squibb India Private Limited, 6<sup>th</sup> floor, Tower 1, One International Center, S.B. Marg, Elphinstone (W), Mumbai - 400013, India Tel: + 91 22 6628 8600.

# 11. DETAILS OF PERMISSION OR LICENCE NUMBER WITH DATE

Permission no. IMP/BIO/22/000002 dated 12-Jan-2022

## 12. DATE OF REVISION

Date of Revision – 23 Jun 2025

Document Version Number 4.1

Source – EU Summary of Product Characteristics (SmPC) approved dated 27 Mar 2024

[Rojuzda]® is a registered trademark of Bristol-Myers Squibb.